

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

BEST COPY
AVAILABLEChapter 272 19 85Bill H _____ S 263 Original bill & history ____CH. Committee on Business & LaborHearing Date(s) Mar 18 ✓ C

_____ C

_____ C

_____ C

Date Out Mar 18 ✓ CS. Committee on State AdministrationHearing Date(s) Feb 13 ✓ CFeb 14 ✓ C

_____ C

_____ C

Feb 14 ✓ C

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ____ Yes ____ No

Committee _____

Report _____

SENATE FINAL STATUS

1/29 FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED
 2/02 FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED
 2/07 HEARING
 2/07 COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL PASS AS AMENDED
 2/11 2ND READING PASS 49 1
 2/13 3RD READING PASS 47 2

TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE
 2/27 REFERRED TO TAXATION
 3/18 HEARING
 3/18 COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL CONCURRED
 3/20 2ND READING CONCURRED 71 5
 3/22 3RD READING CONCURRED 87 11

RETURNED TO SENATE
 3/27 SIGNED BY PRESIDENT
 3/28 SIGNED BY SPEAKER

3/28 TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR
 4/01 SIGNED BY GOVERNOR
 CHAPTER NUMBER 292 EFFECTIVE DATE: 4/01/85

262 INTRODUCED BY SHAW, ABRAMS, GAGE
 EXEMPT LOW COST STRUCTURES FROM BUILDING CODES

1/26 INTRODUCED
 1/28 REFERRED TO STATE ADMINISTRATION
 1/29 FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED
 2/04 FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED
 2/14 HEARING
 2/15 ADVERSE COMMITTEE REPORT 40 8
 2/15 BILL KILLED

263 INTRODUCED BY HARDING
 PROVIDING FOR TERMINATION DATE FOR LAPSED
 OCCUPATIONAL OR PROFESSIONAL LICENSE
 BY REQUEST OF DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

1/28 INTRODUCED
 1/28 REFERRED TO STATE ADMINISTRATION
 2/13 HEARING
 2/15 COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL PASS AS AMENDED
 2/18 2ND READING PASS 50 0
 2/20 3RD READING PASS 48 1

TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE
 2/27 REFERRED TO BUSINESS & LABOR
 3/18 HEARING
 3/18 COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL CONCURRED
 3/20 2ND READING CONCURRED 58 19
 3/22 3RD READING CONCURRED 81 16

RETURNED TO SENATE
 3/27 SIGNED BY PRESIDENT
 3/28 SIGNED BY SPEAKER

3/28 TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR
 4/01 SIGNED BY GOVERNOR
 CHAPTER NUMBER 272 EFFECTIVE DATE: 10/01/85

was carried unanimously. Senator Lynch moved that SENATE BILL 248 Do Pass as amended. Question was called and with Senator Hirsch voting no, SENATE BILL 248 DO PASS AS AMENDED.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 263: Senator Ethel Harding, Senate District 25, Polson, is the sponsor of this bill entitled, "AN ACT PROVIDING THAT A LAPSED OCCUPATIONAL OR PROFESSIONAL LICENSE WHICH IS NOT RENEWED WITHIN 3 YEARS OF THE MOST RECENT RENEWAL DATE AUTOMATICALLY TERMINATES AND MAY NOT BE RENEWED." Senator Harding said that this problem is one of lapsed licenses that have not been renewed for a number of years and suddenly the person wishes to return to work. There may have been substantial changes in the practice in the meantime. The object is to protect the public by assuring that the practitioner is qualified. Senator Harding said Mr. Brazier can explain this better.

PROPOSERS: Geoff Brazier, staff Attorney, Department of Commerce, supports this bill. Mr. Brazier reiterated the same statement that Senator Harding said above, and then went on to give examples. One example was about a nurse returning to practice after 20 years. A child under her care had a relapse. The nurse didn't even know C.P.R. and the child died. This is an extreme example, but it serves to dramatize the problem that the bill addresses. Incidentally, there is no magic in the three-year term. It is strictly arbitrary, but recognizes a sufficient passage of time for a change to take place in the profession.

OPPOSERS: Farrell Griffin, Beauty College owner, opposes this bill. He said he wouldn't have any problem with this bill as far as medicine goes, but in cosmetology, a lot of women drop out to take care of their families and then 5 or 10 years down the road decide to go back to work. He feels that if they pay their back license up, they should be able to go right back to work without having to take a year of school over again.

Max Evans, beauty shop owner, opposes this bill. He has the same problem Mr. Griffin has. He feels that it is no problem for the women to come back to work after 5 or 10 years without retraining.

COMMITTEE QUESTIONS: Senator Lynch asked how much it costs to get an original license. Mr. Evans replied \$25.00. Senator Lynch asked how much to renew your old license. Mr. Evans replied that you had to pay back years at \$25.00. Senator Lynch said you could get a new license and it wouldn't cost as much, and under this bill that's what you can do. Mr. Evans said that he read it to mean that you would have to go back to school for a year. Mr. Brazier felt that they may have to take a test. Senator Mohar asked why it didn't

February 13, 1985

list a statute that it effects. Mr. Brazier said that this would be a technicality only

SENATE BILL 263 is closed.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 263: Action on Senate Bill 263 will be deferred until Thursday, February 14, 1985.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 274: Senator William Farrell, Senate District 31, is the sponsor of this bill entitled, "AN ACT PROVIDING THAT GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST A HOLDER OF AN OCCUPATIONAL OR PROFESSIONAL LICENSE ARE GROUNDS FOR DENIAL OF A LICENSE TO AN APPLICANT; PERMITTING A PROFESSIONAL OR OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING BOARD TO ATTACH CONDITIONS TO NEW LICENSES ISSUED." Senator Farrell said that this bill addresses problems that come up when an applicant is in "pipeline" for qualifying for a license to practice and when an applicant from another state applies for a license to practice a profession. There have been recent instances where new license applicants became involved in conduct which would be grounds for license revocation if they had licenses, but the same conduct was not grounds to deny a license. Section 2 corrects the problem of out-of-state people who have had revocations or suspensions, but have corrected the problem. This would take care of this problem. The Committee will note that under the bill, boards are given discretion to exercise the authority granted. The bill is not mandatory in all cases.

PROPOSERS: Geoff Brazier, staff Attorney, Department of Commerce, supports this bill. Mr. Brazier said that undesirable persons have applied for licenses and they have entered the "pipeline" for qualification. Under the present statutory framework there is no way that the conduct could serve as a basis for denying the license. The other section of the bill permits boards to attach conditions to a new license. (For more of Mr. Brazier's testimony see Exhibit "L" attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.)

OPPOSERS: Dr. David Wisty, Optometrist, opposes this bill. He feels that this is another way for them to get their hands on licenses.

COMMITTEE QUESTIONS: Senator Mohar was concerned with the language talking about denial of licenses in certain sections.

SENATE BILL 274 is closed.

COMMITTEE

Date 2-13-85

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SEANTOR JACK HAFLEY, Chairman			✓
SENATOR LES HIRSCH, Vice-Chairman	✓		
SENATOR JOHN ANDERSON	✓		
SENATOR MAX CONOVER	✓		
SENATOR WILLIAM FARRELL	✓		
SENATOR ETHEL HARDING	✓		
SENATOR J. D. LYNCH			
SENATOR DICK MANNING			✓
SENATOR JOHN MOHAR	✓		
SENATOR LARRY TVEIT		✓	

MY NAME IS
I AM STAFF ATTORNEY FOR DOL.

2-13-85

I PROVIDE SERVICES TO APPROXIMATELY 20 BOARDS.
I AM APPEARING ON BEHALF OF POL TO SUPPORT 5 BILLS

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE. YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU A PACKAGE OF 5 BILLS INTRODUCED AT THE REQUEST OF THE PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING BUREAU OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. THE CHAIRMAN AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAVE BEEN KIND ENOUGH TO SPONSOR THE BILLS.

I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE A BRIEF, ONE-TIME ONLY DISCUSSION OF THE HISTORY OF THE BUREAU AND ITS FUNCTIONS BEFORE DISCUSSING THE INDIVIDUAL BILLS. THIS IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF AIDING YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE INDIVIDUAL BILLS IN THE PACKAGE.

OVER THE YEARS CONGRESS AND THE VARIOUS LEGISLATURES, INCLUDING THIS LEGISLATURE, HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PROFESSIONS AND OCCUPATIONS PRESENT SUCH HEALTH AND SAFETY RISKS TO THE PUBLIC THAT PRACTITIONERS SHOULD BE REGULATED FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC, BY ASSURING THAT ONLY QUALIFIED PERSONS PRACTICE AND THAT THOSE PERSONS MAINTAIN STANDARDS OF PRACTICE.

IN MONTANA APPROXIMATELY 30 OF THOSE OCCUPATIONS ARE REGULATED BY BOARDS ALLOCATED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. THE DEPARTMENT, THROUGH THE BUREAU, PROVIDES CENTRAL EXECUTIVE SERVICES, SUCH AS RECORD KEEPING, LICENSE PROCESSING, DAILY CORRESPONDENCE, AND ^{ACCOUNTING AND} LEGAL SERVICES. THE BENEFITS ARE COST SAVING AND UNIFORMITY. INSTEAD OF A SEPARATE STAFF FOR EACH BOARD, ONE PERSON PROVIDES SERVICES TO SEVERAL BOARDS. FOR EXAMPLE, I PROVIDE SERVICES TO APPROXIMATELY 20 BOARDS.

BEFORE REORGANIZATION IN THE EARLY 1970'S, THE BOARDS DID OPERATE INDEPENDENTLY. THEY WERE BROUGHT TOGETHER AS A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT IN THE ORIGINAL REORGANIZATION. IN 1981 THERE WAS A MINI-REORGANIZATION WHICH PLACED PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING UNDER THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

AT THAT TIME THE LEGISLATURE ADOPTED A PACKAGE OF STATUTES WHICH WERE INTENDED TO APPLY UNIFORMLY TO ALL BOARDS ASSIGNED TO THE BUREAU. THESE STATUTES WERE DRAFTED WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF THE SUNSET REVIEW AND LEGISLATIVE AUDIT PEOPLE. THEY ARE CODIFIED IN CHAPTER 1 OF TITLE 37 OF THE CODES.

THIS PACKAGE OF BILLS IS SUGGESTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFINING THOSE STATUTES IN THE LIGHT OF ADMINISTERING THE LAWS SINCE 1981 AND IN THE LIGHT OF TRENDS IN PROFESSIONAL REGULATION NATIONALLY.

THE BILLS ARE INTENDED TO APPLY TO ALL BOARDS ASSIGNED TO THE BUREAU, EXCEPT WHEN THEY DIFFER FROM AN EXPRESS STATUTE IN EXISTENCE. IN EACH INSTANCE THE OBJECT IS TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC, REDUCE THE COST OF ADMINISTRATION OR EXPEDITE ADMINISTRATION.

AS FAR AS I KNOW, THE BOARDS INVOLVED EITHER SUPPORT THE BILLS OR TAKE NO POSITION ON THEM.

THERE IS NO PARTICULAR ORDER OF IMPORTANCE TO THE BILLS.

* WE HOPE THAT THE COMMITTEE WILL TREAT THE BILLS FAVORABLY.
THERE IS NO PRIDE IN AUTHORSHIP. CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENTS
ARE WELCOME.

ONE THING WE HOPE TO DO HERE IS TO ESTABLISH A CONTINUITY
IN HANDS-ON MONITORING BY A LEGISLATIVE BODY. IN THIS
INSTANCE IT IS THIS COMMITTEE.

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE, I WOULD NOW
LIKE TO ADDRESS _____.

* THESE PROPOSALS ARE THE RESULT OF
EXPERIENCES OF THE REVISED STAFF
IN TRYING TO IMPLEMENT THE LAWS IN
THE PAST 4 YEARS. SUGGESTIONS ALSO
REFLECT WHAT OTHER STATES ARE DOING WITH
THE PROBLEMS.

IT IS POSSIBLE THAT FUTURE EXPERIENCE
WILL LEAD TO OTHER ~~LEGISLATIVE~~ PROPOSED
LEGISLATIVE SOLUTIONS

Exhibit 4
SB-263
2-13-85

SB 263

THE PROBLEM ADDRESSED BY SB 263 IS ONE THAT HAPPENS WHEN A PROFESSIONAL LICENSEE LETS HIS OR HER LICENSE LAPSE FOR A PERIOD OF YEARS AND LATER DECIDES TO RETURN TO PRACTICE.

THERE MAY HAVE BEEN SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES IN THE PRACTICE IN THE MEAN-TIME. THE OBJECT IS TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC BY ASSURING THAT THE PRACTITIONER IS QUALIFIED. IF A PERSON CAN STEP BACK IN AFTER A PERIOD OF YEARS WITHOUT DEMONSTRATING CURRENT QUALIFICATIONS, THEN SOME MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY BE IN TROUBLE.

MANY BOARD STATUTES DO NOT COVER THIS SITUATION.

SB 263 GIVES ALL BOARDS A USEFUL TOOL FOR PROTECTING THE PUBLIC BY REQUIRING THAT PERSONS LAPSED LICENSES OVER 3 YEARS OLD MUST OBTAIN A NEW ORIGINAL LICENSE TO RETURN TO PRACTICE.

Exhibit 44.
SB-263
2-13-85

SB 263 IS FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. IT IS INTENDED TO PROVIDE A MEANS OF ASSURING THAT THE PRACTITIONERS ARE QUALIFIED TO DELIVER THE SERVICE THEY ~~GIVE~~ SELL.

THERE IS A SITUATION THAT OCCURS IN THE LICENSING FIELD WHEN PERSONS, FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS, LET THEIR LICENSES LAPSE. SOME OF THEM DECIDE AT A LATER TIME THAT THEY WANT TO RESUME THE PRACTICE.

TWO PROBLEMS ARE PRESENTED. ONE IS WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE LAPSED LICENSE? IS IT TERMINATED? OR IS IT JUST DORMANT? THE OTHER PROBLEM IS WHETHER THE WOULD BE PRACTITIONER HAS LOST TOUCH WITH THE STATE OF THE ART IN HIS PROFESSION SINCE HE LET HIS LICENSE LAPSE?

IF THE PRACTITIONER IS NOT CURRENT, THEN HE OR SHE SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE UNTIL HE OR SHE IS CURRENT-- ESPECIALLY IN THE HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONS.

AN ACTUAL WORST CASE EXAMPLE INVOLVED A NURSE WHO RETURNED TO PRACTICE AFTER 20 YEARS. A CHILD UNDER HER CARE HAD A RELAPSE. THE NURSE DIDN'T EVEN KNOW C.P.R. THE CHILD DIED.

THIS IS AN EXTREME EXAMPLE, BUT IT SERVES TO DRAMATIZE THE PROBLEM THAT THE BILL ADDRESSES.

INCIDENTALLY, THERE IS NO MAGIC IN THE THREE-YEAR TERM. IT IS STRICTLY ARBITRARY, BUT RECOGNIZES A SUFFICIENT PASSAGE OF TIME FOR A PERSON TO REINSTATE A LICENSE, AND FOR SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO TAKE PLACE IN THE PROFESSION.

ADDRESS: DEPT OF COMMERCE

REPRESENTING WHOM? DEPT OF COMMERCE

DO YOU: SUPPORT? ✓ AMEND? OPPOSE?

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

February 14, 1985

is the requirement that the audit be done in 60 days. This was changed to 120 days. Senator Harding said that having been an auditor she knows how impossible it is to get all the work done in 60 days. She asked that the Committee allow them to have 60 more days.

PROPOSERS: Don Dooley, Department of Commerce, support this Bill for all the reasons that Senator Harding listed. Mr. Dooley felt that 60 days was unrealistic.

OPPOSERS: There were no opposers.

COMMITTEE QUESTIONS: There were no committee questions.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 276: Senator Manning made a motion that SENATE BILL 276 do pass. Question was called and the Committee voted unanimously that SENATE BILL 276 DO PASS.


EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 263: Valencia Lane, staff Attorney said that this allows that a license cannot be renewed if it has elapsed for more than three years. Valencia had made some amendments to the bill and she explained them to the Committee. (For amendments see Standing Committee Report attached.) Senator Manning moved that the amendments do pass. Question was called and the amendments passed unanimously. Senator Manning made a motion that SENATE BILL 263 DO PASS AS AMENDED. Question was called and the Committee voted unanimously that SENATE BILL 263 DO PASS AS AMENDED.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 274: Valencia Lane, staff Attorney, said that there were still some amendments to be worked out on this bill. Action was deferred until Friday, February 15, 1985, on adjournment.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 207: Valencia Lane, staff Attorney, said that Senator Mazurek had given her some amendments from Mr. Schneider. Senator Manning said that he had received a lot of letters from the Great Falls area and everyone feels it is a bad bill. Senator Mohar moved that SENATE BILL 207 do not pass. Question was called and the Committee voted unanimously that SENATE BILL 207 DO NOT PASS.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILLS 222, 274, 275, and 210 will be deferred until Friday, February 15, 1985, on adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:10.


SENATOR JACK HAFFEY, CHAIRMAN

ROLL CALL

STATE ADMINISTRATION

COMMITTEE

49th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1985

Date 2-14

[illegible]

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 14 1985

MR. PRESIDENT

We, your committee on STATE ADMINISTRATION

having had under consideration SENATE BILL No. 263

first reading copy (white)
color

PROVIDING FOR TERMINATION DATE FOR LAPSED OCC. OR PROF. LICENSE

Respectfully report as follows: That SENATE BILL No. 263
be amended as follows

1. Page 1, line 12.

Following: "provided by"

Strike: "law"

Insert: "statute or rule"

2. Page 1, line 16.

Following: "obtained"

Insert: "by passing a qualifying examination and paying the appropriate fee"

AND AS AMENDED

DO PASS

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Chairman.

tion make the situation clear. There needs to be some regulation and this will affect new licensees only.

Proponent Shirley Miller, Bureau Chief, Professional and Occupational Licensing Bureau, Department of Commerce, offered her support.

Representative Schultz asked Geoffrey Brazier if the department has the authority under the Montana Administrative Procedure Act to enforce the provision on page 1, line 24. Mr. Brasier answered that in his opinion they do.

There being no further discussion by proponents and no opponents to the bill, all were excused by the chairman and the hearing on Senate Bill 274 was closed.

SENATE BILL 263: Hearing commenced on Senate Bill 263. Senator Ethel Harding, District #25, sponsor of the bill by request of the Department of Commerce, stated this prohibits renewal of an occupational or professional license that has terminated for failure to renew within three years after lapsing. A new original license could be obtained by passing an examination and paying the appropriate fee.

Proponent Geoffrey Brazier, staff attorney, Department of Commerce, stated this will make sure practitioners are qualified for the service they sell. Mr. Brazier noted a recent case in which a registered nurse had returned to the field after years and had a child die, due to the nurse being unable to perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation. This will make clear the requirements of passing a test and paying a fee, should a license lapse.

Proponent Shirley Miller, Bureau Chief, Professional and Occupational Licensing Bureau, Department of Commerce, offered her support.

Opponent Patricia Antonick of Townsend, stated this bill removes the rulemaking authority from the board to an individual case by case basis. Those most affected will be women who are away from their respective fields for various reasons. All license decisions should be subject to the boards approval and taking an examination should not be the only requirement for requalifying.

Representative Bachini asked Patricia Antonick what other requirements should be necessary for requalifying. Ms.

Antonick stated continuing education will bring an individual back to current standards. It is too harsh to require state boards be taken again. Ms. Antonick also stated that those people who come back to a profession after a period of time, have good things occur also, contrary to what Mr. Brazier stated.

Representative Brandewie asked Patricia Antonick what the cost is keep your nursing license. Ms. Antonick stated it is costs \$10 per year.

Representative Simon asked Geoffrey Brazier if the board can revise the three year period if they find it is too harsh. Mr. Brazier stated the board can not override the legislature.

Representative Kadas asked Geoffrey Brazier in the situation of the nurse why her license didn't terminate. Mr. Brazier stated the boards allow a person to pay a fee and keep their license.

Representative Schultz asked Geoffrey Brazier who will write the qualifying exam. Mr. Brazier states this may need further clarification.

Representative Schultz commented that it is unfair to have a test that those in the profession have not passed.

There being no further discussion by proponents or opponents, all were excused by the chairman and the hearing on Senate Bill 263 was closed.

SENATE BILL 404: Hearing commenced on Senate Bill 404. Senator William Yellowtail, District #50, sponsor of the bill by request of the Board of Realty Regulation, stated this permits the board to change the annual renewal and automatic cancellation dates for real estate broker's and salesman's licenses. The law now requires payment of renewal fees during December with automatic cancellation of the license on January 1 for nonpayment of fee.

Proponent Shirley Miller, Bureau Chief, Professional and Occupational Licensing Bureau, Department of Commerce, stated they requested this change to help spread the workload and to provide a more efficient, cost-saving operation.

Proponent Lon Mitchell, Administrative Officer, Board of

Mr. Eater explained that cosmetologists are trained in hair dyeing and that they are not taught the tapered haircut.

Representative Simon asked Gary Lucht the same question. Mr. Lucht stated, cosmetologists are 50% women and 50% men and that they market younger kids who do not wear flat tops.

Representative Pavlovich asked Gary Lucht if this provision was left out of the barber bill from last session. Mr. Lucht explained that this was correct.

There being no further discussion by proponents or opponents, all were excused by the chairman and the hearing on Senate Bill 342 was closed.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL 404: Representative Brandewie moved DO PASS on Senate Bill 404. Second was received, Senate Bill 404 will BE CONCURRED IN by unanimous vote.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL 263: Representative Hansen moved DO PASS on Senate Bill 263. Representative Schultz stated a qualifying exam is difficult to design. Representative Brandewie stated tests are designed to thin out applicants and that the requirement should be continuing education. Representative Hansen referred to a drivers license and stated those that retake the driving test are generally better drivers. Representative Driscoll explained that most boards have continuing education requirements and if an individual does not take the courses, their license lapses. Representative Bachini asked Shirley Miller how many boards require continuing education. Ms. Miller stated there are approximately 10 that do and three that are pending legislation. Representative Simon added that those who pay their dues show interest and those that do not pay are not interested. Representative Wallin asked Shirley Miller the procedure for sending a license renewal notice. Ms. Miller stated licensees are notified by post card that fees are due. Representative Glaser stated this creates a broad brush and there may be better control under each board. Representative Brandewie stated the board of realty regulation will pull a license if your fee is not right on time and that each board is different. Question being called, a roll call vote resulted in 16 members voting yes and 4 members voting no. Senate Bill 263 will BE CONCURRED IN.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL 274: Representative Thomas moved DO

DAILY ROLL CALL
 BUSINESS AND LABOR COMMITTEE

49th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1985

Date March 18, 1985

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Bob Pavlovich	✓		
Les Kitselman	✓		
Bob Bachini	✓		
Ray Brandewie	✓		
Jan Brown	✓		
Jerry Driscoll	✓		
Robert Ellerd	✓		
William Glaser	✓		
Stella Jean Hansen	✓		
Marjorie Hart	✓		
Ramona Howe	✓		
Tom Jones	✓		
Mike Kadas	✓		
Vernon Keller	✓		
Lloyd McCormick	✓		
Jerry Nisbet	✓		
James Schultz	✓		
Bruce Simon	✓		
Fred Thomas	✓		
Norm Wallin	✓		

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

March 18

19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on BUSINESS AND LABOR

having had under consideration SENATE Bill No. 263

third reading copy (blue)
color

PROVIDING FOR TERMINATION DATE FOR LAPSED OCC. OR PROP. LICENSE

Respectfully report as follows: That SENATE Bill No. 263

DO-PASS
BE CONCURRED IN

ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE COMMITTEE BUSINESS AND LABOR

DATE March 18, 1985 BILL NO. SB243 TIME _____

NAME	AYE	NAY
Bob Pavlovich	✓	
Les Kitzelman		✓
Bob Bachini	✓	
Ray Brandewie	✓	
Jan Brown	✓	
Jerry Driscoll	✓	
Robert Ellerd	✓	
William Glaser		✓
Stella Jean Hansen	✓	
Marjorie Hart	✓	
Ramona Howe	✓	
Tom Jones		✓
Mike Kadas	✓	
Vernon Keller	✓	
Lloyd McCormick	✓	
Jerry Nisbet	✓	
James Schultz		✓
Bruce Simon	✓	
Fred Thomas	✓	
Norm Wallin	✓	

Secretary Debbie Agui

Chairman Bob Pavlovich

Motion: 16-4 Do Pass

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE

Business & Labor

COMMITTEE

BILL

SB 263

DATE _____

3-18-85

SPONSOR

Senator Harding

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.